

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/628,963 | 07/29/2003 | Richard W. Nykamp | 76764.(new) | 6180 |
| 24335 7 | 590 03/23/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP | | | SIMONE, CATHERINE A | |
| 900 FIFTH TH | IRD CENTER | | | |
| 111 LYON ST | REET, N.W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| GRAND RAPI | DS, MI 49503-2487 | | 1772 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - |
|--|---|---|---|
| | | | |
| Office Action Summans | 10/628,963 | NYKAMP ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Catherine Simone | 1772 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover s | neet with the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply will, by some properties of the period for reply will, by some properties of the period for reply will, by some properties of the period for reply will, by some properties of the period for reply will, by some period for reply will, by | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, howeven. a reply within the statutory minimeriod will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be | m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | • |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all | owance except for form | al matters, prosecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | ler <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 19 | 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,11-13 and 15-19</u> is/are pendi | ng in the application | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | - '' | on. | |
| 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 11-13 and 15-19</u> are subject | to restriction and/or ele | ction requirement. | |
| Application Papers | · | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar | miner | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | ted to by the Examiner | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | • | • | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | = | • • • | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | • |
| | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 U | S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | | • | |
| • | • | been received in this National Stage | |
| application from the International Bu | , , , | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | niscortne cerunea copi | as not received. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Int | erview Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 |) Pa | per No(s)/Mail Date | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date | ····/ | ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er: | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office | e Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050318 | 1 |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1, 3, 11, 12 and 17-19 are drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 99.

II. Claims 13, 15 and 16 are drawn to a method of manufacturing, classified in class156, subclass 60.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed in Invention I can be made by another and materially different process without the steps of the Group II process i.e. cutting the lamination.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Charles Burpee on 3/16/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (571)272-1501. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine A. Simone Examiner

Art Unit 1772

March 18, 2005

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/18/05